



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/813,486

03/30/2004

Shervin Moloudi

15420US01

4921

23446 7590 07/02/2007
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON STREET
SUITE 3400
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

DAGLAWI, AMAR A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2618

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/813,486	Applicant(s) MOLOUDI, SHERVIN	
	Examiner Amar Daglawi	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7, 14-20, 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowadski (US 5,179,728) in view of Otto (US 4,812,849).

With respect to claim 1, Sowadski discloses a method for reducing phase noise (Abstract), comprising:

Generating a signal at a particular frequency, the signal being associated with a harmonic frequency signal disposed at a harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 1-36, col.3, lines 7-40, Fig.1).

However, doesn't expressly teach selectively attenuating frequency content disposed in a region around the harmonic frequency.

Art Unit: 2618

In the same field of endeavor Otto teaches band pass filter means are connected as close as feasible to the respective power dividers and serve to isolate the dividers at the region of the third harmonic of said fundamental reference frequency from effects on nonquadrature error arising (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sowadski to incorporate a band pass filter as taught by Otto so as to suppress frequency in the region around third harmonic of the fundamental reference frequency.

With respect to claim 2, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches associating the signal with a second harmonic frequency signal disposed at a second harmonic frequency (col.1, lines 1-25, col.4, lines 10-45)

Selectively attenuating frequency content disposed in a second region around the second harmonic frequency (col.1, lines 1-25, col.2, lines 1-36, col.3, lines 7-40).

With respect to claim 3, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the signal (Fig.1, 26) and transmitting the applied signal (col.3, lines 7-40).

With respect to claim 4, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the signal comprises dividing the signal (Fig.1, 26, col.3, lines 7-40).

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claim 5, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the signal comprises mixing the signal with a reference signal (Fig.1, 22a, 34a).

With respect to claim 6, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the signal comprises amplifying the signal (Fig.1, 22a, 34a).

With respect to claim 7, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal is generated by at least one of a fixed frequency oscillator, a voltage controlled oscillator, and a current controlled oscillator (Fig.1, 24)

With respect to claim 14, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal comprises a differential signal (col.3, lines 1-40).

With respect to claim 15, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal comprises a quadrature signal (col.3, lines 40-67).

With respect to claim 16, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the selective attenuating comprises canceling frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

With respect to claim 17, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the canceling frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency comprises canceling frequency content disposed only at the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

With respect to claim 18, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the selective attenuating comprises notching frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claim 19, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the notching frequency content comprises notching frequency content disposed only at the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

With respect to claim 20, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the selective attenuating comprises band stopping frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

With respect to claim 21, Sowadski discloses a circuit for reducing phase noise (Fig.1, 10), comprising:

A signal generator that generates a signal at a particular frequency, the signal being associated with a harmonic frequency signal disposed at a harmonic frequency (Fig.1, 24, col.2, lines 1-36, col.3, lines 7-40).

However, doesn't expressly teach selectively teach an attenuating circuit that selectively attenuates frequency content disposed in a region around the harmonic frequency.

In the same field of endeavor Otto teaches band pass filter means are connected as close as feasible to the respective power dividers and serve to isolate the dividers at the region of the third harmonic of said fundamental reference frequency from effects on nonquadrature error arising (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sowadski to incorporate a band pass filter as taught by Otto so as to suppress frequency in the region around third harmonic of the fundamental reference frequency.

With respect to claim 24, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches a non-linear operation circuit that applies at least one non-linear operation to the signal to obtain an outgoing signal and a transmitting circuit for transmitting the outgoing signal (Fig.1, 26, col.3, lines 7-40).

With respect to claim 25, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the transmitting circuit comprises an antenna (Fig.1).

With respect to claim 26, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the non-linear operation circuit comprises a divider that divides the signal (Fig.1, 26, col.3, lines 7-40).

With respect to claim 27, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches applying at least one non-linear operation to the signal comprises mixing the signal with a reference signal (Fig.1, 22a, 34a).

With respect to claim 28, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the non-linear operation circuit comprises an amplifier that amplifies the signal (Fig.1, 22 a, 34 a).

With respect to claim 29, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal generator comprises at least one of a fixed frequency oscillator, a voltage controlled oscillator, and a current controlled oscillator (Fig.1, 24).

Claims 8-10, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowadski (US 5,179,728) in view of Otto (US 4,812,849) as applied to claims 1 and 21 above and further in view of Boesch et al (US 6,298, 244 B1).

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claims 8-10, 30-31, Sowadski as modified by Otto teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 21 above but fail to teach frequency content is selectively attenuated by at least one attenuating circuit comprises at least one of an integrated component and a discrete component and wherein at least one attenuating circuit comprises at least one of harmonic trap.

In the same field of endeavor Boesch teaches in Fig.4 and Fig.5 a harmonic trap coupled to the input of duplex matching circuit to reduce harmonic content of signals outputs by the duplex matching circuit. The harmonic trap further consists of an inductor and capacitor grounded (Fig.4, Fig.5, col.7, lines 9-30).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sowadski in view of Otto with the harmonic trap as further taught by Boesch so as to attenuate the frequency components of the oscillator.

Claims 11-13 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowadski (US 5,179,728) in view of Otto (US 4,812,849) as applied to claims 1 and 21 above, and further in view of Cairns (US 5,794,131).

With respect to claims 11-13 and 30-31, Sowadski in view of Otto teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 21 except for buffering the signal prior to attenuating the frequency content by a buffer.

In related art Cairns teaches reducing or eliminating radio transmitter mixer spurious outputs and teaches the output of the voltage controlled oscillator

Art Unit: 2618

is applied to an input of a mixer which is buffered or limited by amplifier and low pass filter (col.4, lines 1-25).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sowadski in view of Otto with a buffer amplifier 118 as taught by Cairns so as to attenuate frequency harmonic generates by the oscillator.

Claims 32-35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowadski (US 5,179,728) in view of Otto (US 4,812,849).

With respect to claim 32, a signal generator that generates a signal at a particular frequency, the signal being associated with a harmonic frequency signal disposed at a harmonic frequency (Fig.1, 24, col.2, lines 1-36, col.3, lines 7-40).

However, doesn't expressly teach selectively teach a buffer that buffers the signal, that buffer adapted to selectively attenuate frequency content disposed in a region around the harmonic frequency.

In the same field of endeavor Otto teaches band pass filter (buffer) means are connected as close as feasible to the respective power dividers and serve to isolate the dividers at the region of the third harmonic of said fundamental reference frequency from effects on nonquadrature error arising (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sowadski to incorporate a

Art Unit: 2618

band pass filter (buffer) as taught by Otto so as to suppress frequency in the region around third harmonic of the fundamental reference frequency.

With respect to claim 33, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal comprises a differential signal (col.3, lines 1-40).

With respect to claim 34, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal comprises a quadrature signal (col.3, lines 40-67).

With respect to claim 35, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the signal generator comprises a differential signal generator (Fig.1).

With respect to claim 39, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the buffer is adapted to band stop the frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50) [The band pass filter is the buffer that attenuates the frequency content].

With respect to claim 40, Sowadski as modified by Otto further teaches the buffer is adapted to notch the frequency content disposed only at approximately the harmonic frequency (col.2, lines 33-48, col.4, lines 29-50).

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowadski (US 5,179,728) in view of Otto (US 4,812,849) as applied to claim 35 and further in view of Puechberty et al (US 6,026,287).

Sowadski in view of Otto teaches all the limitations of claim 35 except for the buffer comprises a differential pair of transistors, the differential pair of transistors being adapted to receive the signal.

Art Unit: 2618

In the same field of endeavor Puechnerty teaches a buffer stage comprises a first pair of field effect transistors (col.6, lines 31-51, Fig.3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Sowadski in view of Otto incorporate the buffer comprises differential transistors so as to attenuate the frequency content generated by the oscillator.

Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sowadski (US 5,179,728) in view of Otto (US 4,812,849) as applied to claim 32 above and further in view of Boesch et al (US 6,298, 244 B1).

With respect to claims 37 and 38, Sowadski as modified by Otto teaches all the limitations of claim 32 above but fail to the buffer comprises a harmonic trap the harmonic trap being adapted to attenuate the frequency content disposed in the region around the harmonic frequency and the harmonic trap is disposed across a differential output of the buffer.

In the same field of endeavor Boesch teaches in Fig.4 and Fig.5 a harmonic trap coupled to the input of diplex matching circuit to reduce harmonic content of signals outputs by the diplex matching circuit. The harmonic trap further consists of an inductor and capacitor grounded (Fig.4, Fig.5, col.7, lines 9-30).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sowadski in view of Otto

Art Unit: 2618

with the harmonic trap as further taught by Boesch so as to attenuate the frequency components of the oscillator.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amar Daglawi whose telephone number is 571-270-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (7:30 AM- 5:00 AM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on 571-272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



EDAN ORGAD
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Edan Orgad 6/20/07